

# Planning Team Report

h

Proposal Title :	Sutherland Shire Local Enviro	nmental Plan 2006 (SSLEP200	)6) (Draft Amendment No 19).		
Proposal Summary :	This planning proposal seeks an amendment to Sutherland Shire Local Environmental Plan 2006 (SSLEP2006) to allow for a six (6) storey (approximately 25m) commercial building with a floor space ratio of 3.35:1 at 527-533 Kingsway, Miranda (Lot 1 DP 21256 and Lots 18 and 19 DF 8461).				
PP Number :	PP_2012_SUTHE_004_00	Dop File No :	12/20345		
oposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	14-Dec-2012	LGA covered :	Sutherland		
Region :	Sydney Region East	RPA :	Sutherland Shire Council		
State Electorate :	MIRANDA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
ocation Details					
Street : 52	7-533 Kingsway				
Suburb : Min	randa City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2228		
Land Parcel :					
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	James Cooper				
Contact Number :	0292286207				
Contact Email :	james.cooper@planning.nsw.go	ov.au	*		
RPA Contact Deta	ils				
Contact Name :	Jordan Windenstrom				
Contact Number :	0297100639				
Contact Email :	jwindenstrom@sscc.nsw.gov.au	i			
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details				
Contact Name :					
Contact Number :					
Contact Email :			ā.		
Land Release Data					
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :			
Regional / Sub	Southern Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes		

	MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
	Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
	No. of Lots :	3	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
	Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0	
	The NSW Government. Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
	If No, comment :				
	Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο			
		ent : The Department is not aware of any meetings or communications with registered lobbyists concerning this Planning Proposal.			
Supporting notes					
	Internal Supporting Notes :				
	External Supporting Notes :	-			
Adequacy Assessment					
	Statement of the obj	ectives - s55(2)(a)			
	Is a statement of the obj	ectives provided? Yes			
	Comment :				
ł	Explanation of provi	sions provided - s55(2)(b)	)		
	Is an explanation of prov	vision's provided? Yes			
	Comment :	Amendment to the map series SSLEP2006 – Building Heights and Density for land at 527-533 Kingsway, Miranda to allow for an increased maximum building height of 25m.			
			ies SSLEP2006 – Building Heigh to allow for an increased maxin	-	
Justification - s55 (2)(c)					
	a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? <b>No</b>				
	b) S.117 directions ident		1.1 Business and Industrial Zones		
	* May need the Director General's agreement		3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 5.5 Development in the vicinity of Ellalong, Paxton and Millfield (Cessnock LGA) 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions		

h

	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	
Is the Director Gen	eral's agreement required? No	
c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006:Yes		
d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?		
e) List any other matters that need to	RPA has not identified any SEPPs to be considered.	
be considered :	Suggested applicable SEPPs include: SEPP No 1 - Development Standards SEPP No 4 - Development Without Consent and Miscellaneous Exempt and Complying Development SEPP No 6 - Number of Storeys in a Building SEPP No 22 - Shops and Commercial Premises SEPP No 55 - Remediation of Land SEPP No 64 - Advertising and Signage SEPP No 65 - Design Quality of residential Flat Development SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009 SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) The proposal is consistent with the identified SEPPs and the relevant section 117 Directions. with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes	
If No, explain :	RPA has not identified any SEPPs that relate to the Planning Proposal.	
lapping Provided	- s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided?	No	
Comment :	Planning Proposal suggests there is a map attached to the document, however there is no map attached. COuncil will be required to publicly exhibit the relevant maps as part of the exhibition package.	
Community consul	tation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consu	Itation been proposed? Yes	
Comment :	Council proposes that the planning proposal be exhibited in accordance with any requirements as determined by the gateway process and the requirements of Section 29 of the Local Government Act, 1993 and Section 57 the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979.	
	Council proposes to give notice of the public exhibition of the planning proposal: - In the local newspaper (The St George and Sutherland Shire Leader and the Liverpool City Champion); - On Council's website; - In writing to relevant adjoining landowners who may be affected by the proposal.	
dditional Director	General's requirements	
Are there any addition	al Director General's requirements? <b>No</b>	
If Yes, reasons :		
overall adequacy o	f the proposal	
Does the proposal me	et the adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :		

#### Sutherland Shire Local Environmental Plan 2006 (SSLEP2006) (Draft Amendment No 19).

#### **Proposal Assessment**

#### **Principal LEP:**

Due Date : July 2013

to Principal LEP :

Comments in relation The Department has received Council's s64 submission and is aiming to issue an exhibition certificate in early 2013.

#### Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :

The planning intent behind the planning proposal is to provide greater flexibility of the use of the land and in order to cater for significant commercial tenants. The planning proposal is considered to be the best means of achieving the stated objectives and intended outcomes. Within Miranda certain precincts have already been identified for seven (7) storey, 25m, development.

The site currently has an approval for three (3) commercial floors above two (2) basement levels of parking. The roof height of the approved commercial building for this site is approximately 15.5m above natural ground level. The proposal would increase the height of the building by approximately 7.5m, creating a new roof height of approximately 25m (plus lift over runs, roof top plant etc.).

Building heights in Miranda have been reviewed as part of the preparation of the Housing Strategy which is informing the content of Sutherland Shire's Standard Instrument Local Environmental Plan. The Housing Strategy proposes to increase the height of the subject site and surrounding sites to 25m. As such it is considered appropriate that the amendment to the building height proposed under this planning proposal is the best means of achieving the intended outcomes.

SSLEP2006 sets a floor space ratio (FSR) for the subject site at 2:1 pursuant to Clause 35(11) (b). The Planning Proposal seeks to increase the FSR of 3.35:1. Preliminary modelling indicates that an FSR of 3:1 is achievable and reasonable for this site. An FSR of 3.35:1 will need to ensure that adverse impacts are not created on neighbouring properties which can be considered in detail at the development application stage.

This planning proposal will facilitate more intense commercial use of the subject land. This is considered appropriate in a commercial centre well served by public transport.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

The proposed amendments contained in the planning proposal are consistent with the objectives and actions within the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 - Centres and Corridors South.

The planning proposal is also consistent with the Sydney South Draft Sub-regional Strategy. The Sydney South Draft Sub-regional Strategy contains a specific outcome to resolve the centres within the Sutherland Shire and "promote Caringbah and Miranda as centres of excellence' in biomedical and bioengineering research and development". The proposed amendments under this planning proposal will assist in providing appropriately sized and designed floor plans to cater for the specific needs of medical specialists and assists in achieving this outcome.

The Sydney South Draft Sub-regional Strategy supports economic development in the south sub-region, specifically in Miranda. The location of the site in the retail precinct of Miranda suggests that it may contribute to the growth outcomes under the draft strategy.

There are no likely environmental effects from the proposed amendments contained in this Environmental social economic impacts : planning proposal. Specific environmental impacts or environmental concerns which may result from the increased development intensity as a result of the amendments to the building height and density on the subject site will be assessed and managed as part of the development assessment process.

## Sutherland Shire Local Environmental Plan 2006 (SSLEP2006) (Draft Amendment No 19).

The planning proposal is unlikely to raise any significant social and economic concerns. On the contrary it will facilitate economic growth through increased employment opportunities.

### **Assessment Process**

		¥3				
	Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
	Timeframe to make LEP :	9 Month		Delegation :	DDG	
	Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)					
	Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	Νο			
	(2)(a) Should the matter	r proceed ?	Yes			
	If no, provide reasons :					
	Resubmission - s56(2)(l	b): <b>No</b>				
	If Yes, reasons :					
	Identify any additional s	tudies if required				
		lauloo, in roquinour .				
If Other, provide reasons :						
	Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required					
	Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No					
	If Yes, reasons :		ie ielevalit			
Do	ocuments					
4	Document File Name			DocumentType Nar	me	Is Public
	2012 12 13 Amended P 13 Dec 12.pdf	lanning Proposal - ame	ended aPP	Proposal		Yes
PI	anning Team Recom	mendation				
	Preparation of the plann	ing proposal supported	at this stag	e : Recommended with Cond	litions	
	S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and In	dustrial Zo	ones		
3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport						
	5.5 Development in the vicinity of Ellalong, Paxton and Millfield (Cessnock LGA) 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements			4) 		
		6.3 Site Specific Pro	ovisions			
		-		ropolitan Plan for Sydney 203		
	Additional Information :	It is recommended t conditiions:	that the Pla	anning Proposal proceed, sub	bject to the following	3

Sutherland Shire Local Environmental Plan 2006 (SSLEP2006) (Draft Amendment No 19).		
	1. The Planning proposal is exhibited for 14 days; and 2. The Planning Proposal is completed in 9 months' time.	
	The RPA should be advised that:	
	<ol> <li>the Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with all Section 117         Directions and Council does not need to address these directions further;</li> <li>no consultation with public authorities is required;</li> <li>the relevant maps need to be publicly exhibited as part of the exhibition package and clearly labelled; and</li> <li>no further studies are required to be carried out.</li> </ol>	
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is considered to be the best means of achieving the stated objectives and intended outcomes in a transparent manner.	
Signature:	Kanati .	
Printed Name:	Danijela KARAC Date: 11 January 2013	